## EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT ROUTING SLIP

٠:		ACTION	INFO	DATE	INITIAL
1	DCI				
_ 2	DDCI ·				
3	EXDIR				<del></del>
4	D/ICS		12.11		
5	DDI	1000	11232	<u> </u>	
6	DDA	Х	and a state of		
7	DDO	1,-20, 100,	Sage Com.		
8	DDS&T	CT CAN TO			
9	Chm/NIC		-H-24-	o Paratte grade.	<u> </u>
10	GC		2.344		
n	IG	Company of the	of the second		
12	Compt				
13	O/Pers	1000	<b>建筑-</b> 发表		
14	D/OLL		· ALKAS		e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
1.5	D/PAO	1 July 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			***************************************
16	SA/IA				
17	AO/DCI	L. V. L.	********		<del></del>
18	C/IPD/OIS	15.5	The state of the s		······································
19		3 . 3 .	A Section 1		
20					·
21					
22		7	)		<del>··· = ··· - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · </del>
	SUSPENSE	30 1	larch,		·

Remarks

Direct reply please, info this office.

Executive Secretary 22 March 1984

3637 (10-81)

ER! Per EO/DDA, O/Personnel buying n for emother in tenview Mountles response well Se required. Action Completed;

Approved For Release 2009	9/03/13 : CIA-RDP86M0088	36R0023	300010093-7 'Auch
			Executive Registry
			84-1283
		•	add Sangillegry ywraig gae a ygeriaith ag gall y codar gaedariau o, air ab irriad rain, ddaenri t e acura y ar di
		, .	
	March 9	1984	

Mr. William Casey Director Central Intelligence Agency Washington, D.C. 20505

Dear Mr. Casey:

Writing to you may prove to be an exercise in futility, but I am nonetheless compelled to do so because I am convinced that the recruitment division of the C. I. A. has treated my application for an operations officer position in an arbitrary and unfair manner.

Last December 8th I responded to one of a series of quarter-page ads which appear frequently in the WASHINGTON POST, THE WALL STREET JOURNAL and in dozens of newspapers throughout the country. I was then and still am absolutely committed to the idea of serving our country's security needs through a career as an operations officer in the C.I.A. I met or exceeded all of the qualifications listed in the ad.

STAT

STAT

After a six-week wait, I was sent a cryptic letter from a together with an entrace ticket for the all-day professional testing in Roslyn, Virginia. I took the test on January 31, 1984. I would point out that the entrace ticket instructed the test taker to "submit all application materials as quickly as possible". Yet I had been sent no application materials what-soever. When I questioned the test-giver about this I was told to disregard the instruction about the submission of application materials. After nearly five weeks of tense waiting, I was sorely disappointed to receive this week a poorly duplicated form letter apprising me that "Your employment application has been reviewd carefully by agency officials ... no vacancy has been found which is compatible with your background and experience." Absurd! My background could not match the profile indicated in your newspaper ad more closely if the writer had used my resume.

I can think of no reason why my candidacy was rejected other than a poor performance on your "professional applicant examination". But if this is the case, why not honestly tell me that I failed the test and the reason why? Why obfuscate with phony statements about there being no position compatible with the applicant's background?



Surely well qualified and highly motivated applicants who have gone to considerable trouble deserve better treatment and a fuller explanation for their rejection than this sloppy, unprofessional form letter. I know of no other government agency or private employer who having used an entire day of a candidate's time discards him or her in such cavalier fashion and with an utter lack of courtesy.

	Why cannot the C.I.A. send a candidate his test scores or at the very least indicate on which portion of the examination an applicant turned in a poor performance? Is it really necessary to keep candidates dangling for six weeks after receipt of the resume and another five weeks after testing? Besides engendering resentment on the part of applicants, what possible benefit does the C.I.A. derive from this agonizingly slow process?	STAT
	To be rejected because of lack of qualifications or insufficient test scores is understandable. To be trashed without any explanation at all is the height of callousness. When I called the recruitment division yesterday in an effort to talk to	STAT STAT
	whom knew anything about my applicaction.	STAT
_	I was also told	
l	that competition is very keen. If this is the case, why does the C.I.A. continue to place ads in national publications, ads that give the impression that there is a likely prospect of early employment? Should you not at least make clear in the ad that the application procedure drags on for months and that the chances of surviving the cuts in employment are at best extremely low? Your agency does not seem to be aware that applicants are people, not ciphers to be discarded with no thought of their reactions.	STAT STAT STAT
	I have taken the step of writing to you personally, Mr. Casey, because you are the director, and I think you should be aware of my belief that I did not receive a fair chance to demonstrate my suitability for employment with the C.I.A. At the very least, common decency dictates that I be told why I am inadequate for further consideration. I remain firm in my commitment to seek a career with the C.I.A. I have a valuable contribution to make. Can I count on your help?	

Approved For Release 2009/03/13: CIA-RDP86M00886R002300010093-7

Yours sincerely.

**STAT** 

